

© Copyright NEWSPOLL

Any reproduction of this material must credit both NEWSPOLL and THE AUSTRALIAN

THINKING NOW ABOUT THE ENVIRONMENT, IN PARTICULAR, THE IDEA OF A CARBON EMISSIONS TRADING SCHEME. THIS AIMS TO LIMIT TOTAL CARBON EMISSIONS BY GIVING BUSINESSES A PERMIT TO RELEASE UP TO A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF EMISSIONS. DO YOU BELIEVE A CARBON EMISSIONS TRADING SCHEME IN AUSTRALIA COULD HELP SLOW GLOBAL WARMING?

	-POLITICAL SUPPORT-			-----SEX-----		-----AGE-----			-----HOUSEHOLD INCOME-----			
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>COALITION</u>	<u>LABOR</u>	<u>MALE</u>	<u>FEMALE</u>	<u>18-34</u>	<u>35-49</u>	<u>50+</u>	<u>LESS THAN \$30,000</u>	<u>\$30,000-\$69,999</u>	<u>\$70,000-\$99,999</u>	<u>\$100,000 AND OVER</u>
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
YES	61	53	67	59	64	73	62	52	58	63	65	63
NO	25	33	19	31	19	18	28	28	21	25	26	31
UNCOMMITTED	14	14	14	10	17	9	10	20	21	12	9	6

UNDER A CARBON EMISSIONS TRADING SCHEME, THE PRICE OF ENERGY SOURCES, SUCH AS PETROL, ELECTRICITY AND GAS MAY BECOME MORE EXPENSIVE. WOULD YOU PERSONALLY BE IN FAVOUR OR AGAINST PAYING MORE FOR ENERGY SOURCES, SUCH AS PETROL, ELECTRICITY AND GAS IF IT WOULD HELP TO SLOW GLOBAL WARMING?

	-POLITICAL SUPPORT-			-----SEX-----		-----AGE-----			-----HOUSEHOLD INCOME-----			
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>COALITION</u>	<u>LABOR</u>	<u>MALE</u>	<u>FEMALE</u>	<u>18-34</u>	<u>35-49</u>	<u>50+</u>	<u>LESS THAN \$30,000</u>	<u>\$30,000-\$69,999</u>	<u>\$70,000-\$99,999</u>	<u>\$100,000 AND OVER</u>
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
IN FAVOUR	56	47	63	56	57	56	58	55	50	55	64	67
AGAINST	39	49	33	40	38	39	39	39	41	42	34	32
UNCOMMITTED	5	4	4	4	5	5	3	6	9	3	2	1

© Copyright NEWSPOLL

Any reproduction of this material must credit both NEWSPOLL and THE AUSTRALIAN

PETROL COULD BE EXEMPTED FROM A CARBON EMISSIONS TRADING SCHEME. HOWEVER, SOME SUGGEST THAT THIS WOULD RESULT IN THE COST OF OTHER ENERGY SOURCES BEING MORE EXPENSIVE THAN IF PETROL WERE INCLUDED. GIVEN THIS, WOULD YOU PERSONALLY BE IN FAVOUR OR AGAINST THE EXEMPTION OF PETROL FROM A CARBON EMISSIONS TRADING SCHEME?

	-POLITICAL SUPPORT-			-----SEX-----		-----AGE-----			-----HOUSEHOLD INCOME-----			
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>COALITION</u>	<u>LABOR</u>	<u>MALE</u>	<u>FEMALE</u>	<u>18-34</u>	<u>35-49</u>	<u>50+</u>	<u>LESS THAN \$30,000</u>	<u>\$30,000-\$69,999</u>	<u>\$70,000-\$99,999</u>	<u>\$100,000 AND OVER</u>
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
IN FAVOUR	42	49	39	42	43	52	37	38	41	43	51	41
AGAINST	46	40	51	50	43	38	56	46	43	44	44	55
UNCOMMITTED	12	11	10	8	14	10	7	16	16	13	5	4

These surveys were conducted on 27-29 June 2008 on the telephone by trained interviewers in all states of Australia and in both city and country areas. Telephone numbers and the person within the household were selected at random. Questions are based on 1,201 interviews among adults aged 18 years and over. The maximum margin of sampling error is plus or minus 3 percentage points on the total sample. Copyright at all times remains with NEWSPOLL. More poll information is available at www.newspoll.com.au