

IF A FEDERAL ELECTION FOR THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES WAS HELD TODAY, WHICH ONE OF THE FOLLOWING WOULD YOU VOTE FOR? IF "UNCOMMITTED", TO WHICH ONE OF THESE DO YOU HAVE A LEANING?

PRIMARY VOTE

	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>BOWMAN</u>	<u>DAWSON</u>	<u>DICKSON</u>	<u>FLYNN</u>	<u>HERBERT</u>	<u>LONGMAN</u>
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LABOR	44	44	47	41	41	48	44
COALITION	40	46	40	39	43	31	39
GREENS	7	8	6	11	3	10	7
OTHERS	9	2	7	9	13	11	10

TWO PARTY PREFERRED

Based on preference flow at
November 2007 Federal election

	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>BOWMAN</u>	<u>DAWSON</u>	<u>DICKSON</u>	<u>FLYNN</u>	<u>HERBERT</u>	<u>LONGMAN</u>
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LABOR							
Election 24 November 2007#	50.7	50.0	51.2	50.5	51.15	50.2	50.85
9-12 November 2009*	54	53	54	53	54	53	54
COALITION							
Election 24 November 2007#	49.3	50.0	48.8	49.5	48.85	49.8	49.15
9-12 November 2009*	46	47	46	47	46	47	46

Based on estimates provided by Antony Green after redistribution on 16th October 2009

* Average swing across all six electorates is 2.9% to Labor. 2PP shown for each electorate assumes a uniform swing of 2.9% to Labor across all six electorates

ARE YOU SATISFIED OR DISSATISFIED WITH THE WAY MR KEVIN RUDD IS DOING HIS JOB AS PRIME MINISTER?

	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>BOWMAN</u>	<u>DAWSON</u>	<u>DICKSON</u>	<u>FLYNN</u>	<u>HERBERT</u>	<u>LONGMAN</u>
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SATISFIED	54	54	58	52	46	61	57
DISSATISFIED	37	39	33	39	43	31	36
UNCOMMITTED	9	7	9	9	11	8	7

ARE YOU SATISFIED OR DISSATISFIED WITH THE WAY MR MALCOLM TURNBULL IS DOING HIS JOB AS LEADER OF THE OPPOSITION?

	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>BOWMAN</u>	<u>DAWSON</u>	<u>DICKSON</u>	<u>FLYNN</u>	<u>HERBERT</u>	<u>LONGMAN</u>
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SATISFIED	34	38	32	34	37	38	27
DISSATISFIED	51	48	49	54	48	48	59
UNCOMMITTED	15	14	19	12	15	14	14

WHO DO YOU THINK WOULD MAKE THE BETTER PRIME MINISTER?

	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>BOWMAN</u>	<u>DAWSON</u>	<u>DICKSON</u>	<u>FLYNN</u>	<u>HERBERT</u>	<u>LONGMAN</u>
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MR KEVIN RUDD	63	57	65	64	58	66	64
MR MALCOLM TURNBULL	22	25	22	23	22	20	19
UNCOMMITTED	15	18	13	13	20	14	17

FOR EACH OF THE FOLLOWING ISSUES, PLEASE TELL ME IF YOU PERSONALLY THINK THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS DOING A GOOD JOB OR A BAD JOB OF MANAGING THE ISSUE.

THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S RELATIONSHIP WITH TELSTRA

	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>BOWMAN</u>	<u>DAWSON</u>	<u>DICKSON</u>	<u>FLYNN</u>	<u>HERBERT</u>	<u>LONGMAN</u>
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
VERY GOOD	4	3	4	2	2	6	4
SOMEWHAT GOOD	<u>22</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>23</u>
TOTAL GOOD	26	21	25	25	25	34	27
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	6	7	6	5	6	5	6
SOMEWHAT BAD	27	30	27	35	26	23	22
VERY BAD	<u>16</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>21</u>
TOTAL BAD	43	46	43	48	43	39	43
UNCOMMITTED	25	26	26	22	26	22	24

INTEREST RATES

	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>BOWMAN</u>	<u>DAWSON</u>	<u>DICKSON</u>	<u>FLYNN</u>	<u>HERBERT</u>	<u>LONGMAN</u>
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
VERY GOOD	17	18	16	13	16	19	17
SOMEWHAT GOOD	<u>44</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>44</u>
TOTAL GOOD	61	55	61	62	60	66	61
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	7	9	6	7	7	7	6
SOMEWHAT BAD	16	19	16	16	18	12	16
VERY BAD	<u>10</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>12</u>
TOTAL BAD	26	28	27	26	26	23	28
UNCOMMITTED	6	8	6	5	7	4	5

THE PROPOSED CARBON POLLUTION REDUCTION SCHEME

	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>BOWMAN</u>	<u>DAWSON</u>	<u>DICKSON</u>	<u>FLYNN</u>	<u>HERBERT</u>	<u>LONGMAN</u>
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
VERY GOOD	5	4	4	4	3	7	9
SOMEWHAT GOOD	<u>29</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>32</u>
TOTAL GOOD	34	33	30	38	29	37	41
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	5	5	6	6	2	5	6
SOMEWHAT BAD	25	28	25	23	29	23	22
VERY BAD	<u>23</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>20</u>
TOTAL BAD	48	49	49	44	58	43	42
UNCOMMITTED	13	13	15	12	11	15	11

ASYLUM SEEKERS ARRIVING IN AUSTRALIA

	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>BOWMAN</u>	<u>DAWSON</u>	<u>DICKSON</u>	<u>FLYNN</u>	<u>HERBERT</u>	<u>LONGMAN</u>
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
VERY GOOD	7	7	6	4	6	9	14
SOMEWHAT GOOD	<u>20</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>16</u>
TOTAL GOOD	27	23	27	26	27	31	30
NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD	4	3	4	5	4	4	2
SOMEWHAT BAD	21	24	21	23	16	22	21
VERY BAD	<u>42</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>41</u>
TOTAL BAD	63	68	63	63	62	59	62
UNCOMMITTED	6	6	6	6	7	6	6

AND WOULD YOU SAY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS BEEN TOO HARD ON ASYLUM SEEKERS, TOO SOFT ON ASYLUM SEEKERS OR DO YOU THINK IT HAS GOT IT ABOUT RIGHT?

	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>BOWMAN</u>	<u>DAWSON</u>	<u>DICKSON</u>	<u>FLYNN</u>	<u>HERBERT</u>	<u>LONGMAN</u>
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
FAR TOO HARD	4	4	4	4	3	4	4
A LITTLE TOO HARD	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>8</u>
TOTAL HARD	12	12	10	11	10	14	12
A LITTLE TOO SOFT	18	19	17	20	17	18	18
FAR TOO SOFT	<u>38</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>39</u>
TOTAL SOFT	56	57	57	55	60	53	57
ABOUT RIGHT	27	24	28	29	24	29	26
UNCOMMITTED	5	7	5	5	6	4	5

This survey was conducted on the telephone over the period of 9-12 November 2009 by trained interviewers among 1,847 voters in the Queensland federal electorates of Bowman, Dawson, Dickson, Flynn, Herbert and Longman. Telephone numbers and the person within the household were selected at random. The data has been weighted to reflect the population distribution. Individual electorate sample bases are between 307 and 310 voters. The maximum margin of sampling error is approx. plus or minus 2.3 percentage points on the total sample, and approx. plus or minus 5.6 percentage points on each individual electorate. Copyright at all times remains with NEWSPOLL. More poll information available at www.newspoll.com.au